



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,702 03/03/2004		03/03/2004	Du-seop Yoon	1293.1279C	1293.1279C 4339	
21171	7590	11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700				OMETZ, DAVID LOUIS		
	ORK AV	ENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT			2653			

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	10/790,702	YOON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David L. Ometz	2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) □.	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	1.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	_					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/007,655.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			\				
A44	V 2)						
Attachment	:(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	DTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/04, 4/8/04, 3/3/04		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2653

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/007655, filed on 12/10/01.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6772429. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations set forth in the instant application (i.e. a multi-layer optical recording medium with user data areas on each recording layer; grooves formed on the user data areas; user data wobbles formed on at least one lateral surface of each groove of one of the recording layers; and lead out wobbles, which differ from the user data wobbles of the one recording layer, formed in an area beyond a predetermined radius of the one recording layer wherein the wobbles of the lead-out area of the one recording layer are formed by modulating at least one feature of frequency, period, amplitude and phase of the wobbles of the user data area of the one recording layer) correspond to the claimed subject in the '429 patent set forth in the claims, col. 8, lines 26-30 and 35-43.

Art Unit: 2653

4. Claims 1-3 would be allowable upon filing of a proper terminal disclaimer obviating the double patenting rejection set forth, *supra*.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Ometz whose telephone number is (703) 308-1296. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W, 6:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David L. Ometz Trimary Examiner

Art Unit 2653

DLO 11/10/04